

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,516	12/19/2003	Yu-Fu Fan	ACMP0172USA	1515
27765	7590 11/15/2006		EXAMINER	
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION P.O. BOX 506			DAO, MINH D	
MERRIFIELD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2618	
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/707,516	FAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MINH D. DAO	2618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC oute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		tters, prosecution as to the r	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	·	•	
Disposition of Claims	•	•	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	nn ·		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,8-10,17 and 18</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-7,11-16</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	nor		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/arė: a) a		hy the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			R 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·	- , , ,	* *
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		· ·	tage
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies no	t received.	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Attachment(s)	4) \(\sum_{\text{1.5}} \)	Cummon (DTO 442)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	· · ·	

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,8,9,10,17,18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pratt (US 6,591,198) in view of Gupta et al. (US 6,744,882).

Regarding claim 1, Pratt teaches a method for automatically switching a profile of a mobile system (see figs. 1 and 5; col. 7, lines 20-34), the method comprising:

(a)measuring a current environmental noise value of ambient noise surrounding the mobile system (see figs. 1,3,4,5; col. 7, lines 35-47; also see col. 1, line 41 to col. 7, line 20);

(b)comparing the current environmental noise value to a predetermined noise value and calculating a noise difference (see figs. 1,3,4,5; col. 7, lines 35-47; also see col. 1, line 41 to col. 7, line 20); and

(c)switching the profile of the mobile system based on the value of the noise difference (see figs. 1,3,4,5; col. 7, lines 35-47; also see col. 1, line 41 to col. 7, line 20). However,

Art Unit: 2618

Pratt does not mention that his/her the mobile system is a mobile phone. Gupta, in an analogous art, teaches a mobile phone capable of automatically changing the gain of its microphone based on a detected noise level (see col. 2, lines 16-44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Gupta to Pratt in order for the combined system to increase the gain of the microphone to compensate for the tendency of telephone users to speak more loudly in noisy environments (see col. 2, lines 16-32).

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Pratt and Gupta teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the current environmental noise value of ambient noise surrounding the mobile phone is detected with a microphone of the mobile phone (see abstract of Pratt).

Regarding claim 9, the combination of Pratt and Gupta teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the profile of the mobile phone is automatically switched only when a user of the mobile phone activates an automatic profile switching function (see claim 6 and figs. 4 and 5 of Pratt).

Regarding claim 10, the claim includes the limitations as that of claim 1, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 1.

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 17, the claim includes the limitations as that of claim 8, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 8.

Regarding claim 18, the claim includes the limitations as that of claim 9, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 9.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2-7, 11-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claims 2 and 11, the combination of Pratt and Gupta teaches the method of claims 1 and 10 respectively, but fails to teach that the method of claims 1 and 10 further comprising:

- (d) measuring a current antenna signal strength value from antenna signals received by the mobile phone; and
- (e) comparing the current antenna signal strength value to a predetermined antenna signal strength value and calculating a signal strength difference; wherein step (c) further comprises switching the profile of the mobile phone based on the values of the noise difference and the signal strength difference as specified in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,516

Art Unit: 2618

Conclusion

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH D. DAO whose telephone number is 571-272-7851. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MATTHEW ANDERSON can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Minh Dao (AP) AU 2618

Matthew Anderson Superviser AU 2618